OFFICIAL BALLOT GENERAL ELECTION LARIMER COUNTY, COLORADO **TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2004** SAMPLE BALLOT

hot Doyle

(

SCOTT DOYLE COUNTY CLERK AND RECORDER

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS: To vote for the candidate(s) of your choice, completely fill in the OVAL to the left of the candidate(s) name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write in the name of the candidate in the space provided and fill in the OVAL to the left of the write-in line. To complete your ballot, please review both sides. If you tear, deface or wrongly mark this ballot, return it to an election judge to get another. VOTE LIKE THIS

FEDERAL OFFICES	STATE OFFICES	CITY OF LOVELAND
PRESIDENTIAL ELECTORS (Vote for One Pair)	REGENT OF THE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 4 (Vote for One)	City Councilor for Ward One (1) One Year Term (Vote for One)
George W. Bush / Dick Cheney Republican	Tom J. Lucero Republican	Robert Garcin
John F. Kerry / John Edwards Democratic	Dan Cochran Libertarian	── Ron Weaks
Michael Badnarik / Richard V. Campagna	STATE SENATE -	ESTES PARK FIRE
── Libertarian ── David Cobb / Patricia LaMarche	DISTRICT 14 (Vote for One)	PROTECTION DISTRICT OFFICES
Green	Ray Martinez	DIRECTORS
Colorado Reform Michael Anthony Peroutka / Chuck Baldwin	Bob Bacon	TWO YEAR TERM (Vote for two Directors)
American Constitution Gene Amondson / Leroy Pletten	Democratic Mark Brophy	Steve Gillette
Concerns of People Stanford E. Andress (Andy) / Irene M. Deasy	STATE REPRESENTATIVE -	Douglas Klink
Unaffiliated	DISTRICT 49 (Vote for One)	Pete Brandjord
Socialist Earl F. Dodge / Howard L. Lydick Prohibition	Kevin Lundberg	Bob Cheney
James E. Harris / Margaret Trowe	Republican Doug Frisbie	DIRECTORS
Socialist Workers Bill Van Auken / Jim Lawrence	Democratic Alberto Squassabia	FOUR YEAR TERM (Vote for three Directors)
Socialist Equality	Libertarian STATE REPRESENTATIVE -	Jim Watson
Write-in UNITED STATES SENATOR	_ DISTRICT 51 (Vote for One)	Fred Garrabrant
(Vote for One)	James E. Welker	Jack Rumley
Pete Coors		Steve Campbell
Cepublican	Write-in STATE REPRESENTATIVE -	RED FEATHER LAKES
Democratic Victor Good	DISTRICT 52 (Vote for One)	FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
Colorado Reform	John Kefalas	Board of Directors
American Constitution	Bob McCluskey	(Vote for no more than two)
Libertarian	Republican	
John R. Harris Independent	Jassen L. Bowman Libertarian	Dean VanBuskirk
Finn Gotaas Unaffiliated	STATE REPRESENTATIVE - DISTRICT 53	Karen Rudisaile
Write-in	(Vote for One)	JUDICIARY
REPRESENTATIVE TO THE 109th UNITED STATES CONGRESS -	Angie Paccione Democratic	COURT OF APPEALS (Vote Yes or No)
DISTRICT 4 (Vote for One)	Ed Haynes Republican	Shall Judge James S. Casebolt of the Colorado Court of
	DISTRICT ATTORNEY -	Appeals be retained in office?
Marilyn N. Musgrave	8th JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Vote for One)	YES
C Repúblican	C Larry R. Abrahamson	
Democratic Bob Kinsey	COUNTY OFFICES	COURT OF APPEALS
Green STATE OFFICES	COUNTY COMMISSIONER - DISTRICT 2	(Vote Yes or No)
REGENT OF THE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO -	(Vote for One)	Shall Judge Dennis A. Graham of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?
AT LARGE (Vote for One)	Karen A. Wagner	YES
Jennifer Mello	Democratic Tom Bender	
Democratic Steve Bosley	COUNTY COMMISSIONER - DISTRICT 3	
Republican Daniel Ong	(Vote for One)	
Libertarian	Roger Hoffmann	
	Democratic	
	Glenn Gibson Republican	

JUDICIARY	STATE OF COLORADO	STATE OF COLORADO
	Amendment 35	Referendum A
(Vote Yes or No) Shall Judge Arthur P. Roy of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?	SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$175 MILLION ANNUALLY THROUGH ADDITIONAL TOBACCO TAXES IMPOSED FOR HEALTH RELATED PURPOSES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, AMENDING THE COLORADO	Amendments to sections 13, 14, and 15 of article XII an section 22 of article IV of the constitution of the state of Colorado, concerning reform of the state civil service system, and, in connection therewith, modifying the
YES	CONSTITUTION TO INCREASE STATEWIDE TAXES ON THE SALE OF CIGARETTES BY WHOLESALERS OF	merit principle, exempting certain positions from the system, modifying the number of eligible applicants from
NO	THREE AND TWO-TENTHS CENTS PER CIGARETTE AND ON THE SALE, USE, CONSUMPTION, HANDLING, OR DISTRIBUTION OF OTHER TOBACCO PRODUCTS BY	which an appointment is to be made, modifying the residency requirement, expanding the duration of temporary employment, specifying the rule-making
COURT OF APPEALS (Vote Yes or No)	DISTRIBUTORS AT THE RATE OF TWENTY PERCENT OF THE MANUFACTURER'S LIST PRICE; INCREASING SUCH	authority of the state personnel board and the state personnel director, allowing the general assembly to
Shall Judge Daniel Marc Taubman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?	TOBACCO TAXES EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2005; REQUIRING ANNUAL APPROPRIATIONS OF SPECIFIED PERCENTAGES OF THE ADDITIONAL TOBACCO TAX REVENUES TO EXPAND ELIGIBILITY FOR AND	reallocate the rule-making authority of the state personnel board and the state personnel director, authorizing a modification to the veterans' preference, and making conforming amendments.
YES	INCREASE ENROLLMENT IN THE CHILDREN'S BASIC HEALTH PLAN, TO FUND COMPREHENSIVE PRIMARY	YES
NO	MEDICAL CARE THROUGH CERTAIN COLORADO QUALIFIED PROVIDERS, TOBACCO EDUCATION PROGRAMS, AND PREVENTION, EARLY DETECTION,	NO
COURT OF APPEALS (Vote Yes or No)	AND TREATMENT OF CANCER AND CARDIOVASCULAR AND PULMONARY DISEASES, TO COMPENSATE THE STATE GENERAL FUND, THE OLD AGE PENSION FUND,	Referendum B
Shall Judge John R. Webb of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?	AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS FOR TOBACCO TAX LOSSES RESULTING FROM REDUCED SALES OF CIGARETTES AND TOBACCO PRODUCTS; SPECIFYING THAT THE APPROPRIATIONS OF ADDITIONAL TOBACCO	Amendments to articles IV, VII, and IX of the constitutio of the state of Colorado, concerning the elimination of obsolete provisions of the state constitution.
YES	TAX REVENUES SHALL BE IN ADDITION TO AND NOT SUBSTITUTED FOR APPROPRIATIONS FOR SUCH	YES
NO	PROGRAMS ON JANUARY 1, 2005; ALLOWING THE USE OF ADDITIONAL TOBACCO TAX REVENUES FOR ANY HEALTH RELATED PURPOSE AND TO SERVE	NO
DISTRICT JUDGE - 8th JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Vote Yes or No)	POPULATIONS ENROLLED IN THE CHILDREN'S BASIC HEALTH PLAN AND THE COLORADO MEDICAL	LARIMER COUNTY
Shall Judge Jolene Carman Blair of the 8th Judicial District be	ASSISTANCE PROGRAM AS OF JANUARY 1, 2005, UPON A DECLARATION OF A STATE FISCAL EMERGENCY BY	REFERRED 1A
retained in office?	TWO-THIRDS OF THE MEMBERS OF EACH HOUSE OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY AND THE GOVERNOR; PROHIBITING THE REPEAL OR REDUCTION OF EXISTING	BALLOT TO INCREASE TAXES AND INCREASE THI NUMBER OF THE LARIMER COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS TO FIVE.
VES	TAXES IMPOSED ON CIGARETTES AND OTHER TOBACCO PRODUCTS; EXCLUDING ALL ADDITIONAL TOBACCO TAX REVENUES FROM FISCAL YEAR	1. SHALL LARIMER COUNTY TAXES BE
DISTRICT JUDGE - 8th JUDICIAL DISTRICT	SPENDING FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 20 OF ARTICLE X OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND EXEMPTING APPROPRIATIONS OF ADDITIONAL TOBACCO TAX	INCREASED \$250,682.00 ANNUALLY FOR THE PURPOSE OF FUNDING THE EXPENSES FOR THE POSITIONS OF TWO ADDITIONAL COUNTY
(Vote Yes or No) Shall Judge Terence A. Gilmore of the 8th Judicial District be retained in office?	REVENUES FROM THE STATUTORY LIMITATION ON GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS GROWTH OR ANY OTHER EXISTING SPENDING LIMITATION?	COMMISSIONERS BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL 0.082 MILL PROPERTY TAX LEVY AND SHALL ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE COUNTY IN PERPETUITY AND, IF APPLICABLE
YES	YES	EXCEED THE 5.5% TAX REVENUE GROWTH LIMITATION CONTAINED IN SECTION 29-1-301
NO	NO	COLORADO REVISED STATUTES AND ANY OTHER TAX REVENUE LIMITATION, INCLUDING ARTICLE X SECTION 20 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE
	Amendment 36	STATE OF COLORADO?
(Vote Yes or No) Shall Judge Daniel J. Kaup of the 8th Judicial District be retained in office?	Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning popular proportional selection of presidential electors, and, in connection therewith, creating procedures for	Further, shall the membership of the Board of County Commissioners of Larimer County be increased from three (3) to five (5) members?
YES	allocating Colorado's electoral votes for president and vice- president of the United States, based on the proportion of ballots that are cast in this state for each presidential ticket;	YES
NO	making the terms of the proposed amendment effective so that popular proportional selection of presidential electors	NO
COUNTY JUDGE - LARIMER	applies to the 2004 general election; setting forth procedures and timelines that govern the certification of election results and the potential recounting of votes in elections for	2. If the electors vote to increase the number of County
(Vote Yes or No) Shall Judge Christine A. Carney of the Larimer County Court be retained in office?	presidential electors and in the election on this proposed amendment; granting the Colorado supreme court original jurisdiction for the adjudication of all contests concerning presidential electors and requiring that such matters be heard	Commissioners to five (5) the method specified below which receives the most votes will be used to elect Commissioners. Regardless of whether you voted "yes" or "no" on whether to increase taxes and increase the
YES	and decided on an expedited basis; and authorizing the general assembly to enact legislation to change the manner of	number of commissioners, indicate below your choice or method to elect commissioners: <u>SELECT ONLY ONE</u>
NO	selecting presidential electors or any of the procedures contained in this amendment?	A. The Board of County Commissioners of
COUNTY JUDGE - LARIMER (Vote Yes or No)	YES	Larimer County shall be increased from three (3) to five (5) members who shall be residents of five (5) districts and who shall be elected by voters from the optimum equations.
Shall Judge Ronald L. Schultz of the Larimer County Court be retained in office?	NO Amendment 37	from the entire county.
YES	Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado revised statutes	Larimer County shall be increased from three (3) to five (5) members, three (3) of whom shall be residents of three (3) districts and who shall be
NO	concerning renewable energy standards for large providers of retail electric service, and, in connection therewith, defining eligible renewable energy resources to include solar, wind,	elected by voters of the entire county, and two (2) at large members who shall be elected by voters
STATE OF COLORADO	geothermal, biomass, small hydroelectricity, and hydrogen fuel cells; requiring that a percentage of retail electricity sales be	of the entire county. C. The Board of County Commissioners of Larimer County shall be increased from three (3)
Amendment 34	derived from renewable sources, beginning with 3% in the year 2007 and increasing to 10% by 2015; requiring utilities to	to five (5) members, three (3) of whom shall be residents of districts and who shall be elected
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning recovery of damages relating to construction of real property improvements, and, in connection therewith, prohibiting laws that limit or impair a property owner's right to recover damages caused by a failure to construct an improvement in a good and workmanlike manner; defining "good and workmanlike manner" to include construction that is suitable for its intended purposes; and permitting exceptions for laws that limit punitive damages, afford governmental immunity, or impose time limits of specified minimum lengths on filing lawsuits?	offer customers a rebate of \$2.00 per watt and other incentives for solar electric generation; providing incentives for utilities to invest in renewable energy resources that provide net economic benefits to customers; limiting the retail rate impact of renewable energy resources to 50 cents per month for residential customers; requiring public utilities commission rules to establish major aspects of the measure; prohibiting utilities from using condemnation or eminent domain to acquire land for generating facilities used to meet the standards; requiring utilities with requirements contracts to address shortfalls from the standards; and specifying election procedures by which the customers of a utility may opt out of the requirements of this amendment?	only by voters resident in the district from which the member runs for election, and two (2) of whom shall be elected by voters for the entire county.
	VES	

)NO

Page 2	2
--------	---

ST. VRAIN VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT

REFERRED 3A

BALLOT ISSUE FOR

ADDITIONAL GENERAL FUND REVENUES

SHALL ST. VRAIN VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT RE-1J TAXES BE INCREASED \$15,470,000 ANNUALLY, OR BY SUCH LESSER ANNUAL AMOUNTS AS MAY BE AUTHORIZED UNDER THE PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE ACT OF 1994 (ACT), AS AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME, TO CONSTITUTE AN OVERRIDE MILL LEVY, TO BE USED FOR THE PURPOSES:

STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND SAFETY NEEDS,

OPENING AND OPERATING NEW SCHOOLS,

RECRUITING AND RETAINING HIGHLY QUALIFIED EMPLOYEES, AND

ELIMINATION OF THE GENERAL FUND BALANCE DEFICIT,

SUCH TAXES TO BE LEVIED BEGINNING IN 2004 FOR COLLECTION IN 2005 AND CONTINUING EACH TAX YEAR THEREAFTER AND ENDING WITH A LEVY IN 2010 FOR COLLECTION IN 2011; AND

PROVIDED FURTHER THAT A CITIZENS OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE SHALL ANNUALLY REVIEW AND RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD OF EDUCATION THE USE OF THESE FUNDS FOR THE ABOVE PURPOSES,

SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN ADDITIONAL AD VALOREM MILL LEVY IMPOSED WITHOUT LIMITATION OF RATE (OR WITH SUCH LIMITATIONS AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE BOARD OF EDUCATION) AND IN AMOUNTS SUFFICIENT TO PRODUCE THE ANNUAL INCREASE SET FORTH ABOVE, AND SHALL THE REVENUES RECEIVED FROM SUCH INCREASED MILL LEVY, AND ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES, BE RECEIVED, INVESTED, AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT IN ANY YEAR WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION IMPOSED BY, OR CONTAINED IN, ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, SUCH AMOUNTS TO CONSTITUTE A VOTER APPROVED WAIVER OF SUCH LIMITATIONS?

YES

NO

ESTES PARK FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

REFERRED 5A

"SHALL THE ESTES PARK FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$843,500 ANNUALLY IN THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY IN EACH SUBSEQUENT YEAR BY THE IMPOSITION OF A MILL LEVY NOT TO EXCEED THREE AND ONE-HALF (3.5) MILLS UPON TAXABLE REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY WITHIN THE DISTRICT, COMMENCING WITH THE TAX COLLECTION YEAR 2005, AND CONTINUING THEREAFTER; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, KEEP AND SPEND ALL REVENUES RECEIVED IN 2005 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE, OR OTHER LIMITATION IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR OTHER STATUTORY LAWS OF THE STATE OF COLORADO; AND SHALL THE ESTES PARK FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BE ORGANIZED AS A SPECIAL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO ARTICLE I OF TITLE 32 C.R.S. AND PURSUANT TO ITS SERVICE PLAN?"

(-)	Y	F	۶
	ノ		-	`

LOVELAND RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

REFERRED 5B

SHALL THE LOVELAND RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT'S TAXES BE INCREASED \$280,340.00 IN THE FIRST FISCAL YEAR (2005) AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY NOT TO EXCEED ONE AND ONE-HALF MILLS (1.5) UPON THE TAXABLE REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY WITHIN THE DISTRICT, COMMENCING WITH THE TAX COLLECTION YEAR 2005, AND CONTINUING THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPLACEMENT OF CAPITAL EQUIPMENT AND IMPROVEMENTS INCLUDING ALL OTHER NECESSARY, INCIDENTAL AND APPURTENANT EQUIPMENT THERETO, AND INCLUDING THE AUTHORIZATION FOR THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE DISTRICT TO ENTER INTO MULTIPLE FISCAL YEAR FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS WITH THIRD PARTIES FOR THE ACQUISITION, USE AND DISPOSITION OF SUCH CAPITAL EQUIPMENT AND IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE DISTRICT; AND THAT THE REVENUE FROM THE ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT NOT WITHSTANDING ANY REVENUE OR EXPENDITURE LIMITATIONS INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION ARTICLE X SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER STATUTORY PROVISION?

) YES

) NO

LARIMER COUNTY PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

POUDRE OVERLOOK PID # 30 REFERRED 5C

SHALL THE PROPOSED POUDRE OVERLOOK PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT #30 TAXES BE INCREASED \$40,000 ANNUALLY, OR BY SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS MAY ANNUALLY DETERMINE IS NECESSARY TO PAY DISTRICT OPERATIONAL COSTS AND TO PAY THE COSTS OF CONSTRUCTION, INSTALLATION AND CONTINUED MAINTENANCE OF ROADS WITHIN THE DISTRICT, SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM MILL LEVY IMPOSED WITHOUT LIMITATION OF RATE OR WITH SUCH LIMITATIONS AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE DISTRICT, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE IN 2005 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AND SHALL THE POUDRE OVERLOOK PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 30 BE CREATED?

) YES

SHIREBROOK PID # 27 REFERRED 5D

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MILL LEVY:

SHALL LARIMER COUNTY SHIREBROOK PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 27 TAXES BE INCREASED \$2,000 ANNUALLY, OR BY SUCH LESSER ANNUAL AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO PAY THE DISTRICT OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER EXPENSES: SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM MILL LEVY IMPOSED WITHOUT LIMITATION OF RATE OR WITH SUCH LIMITATIONS AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE BOARD, AND IN AMOUNTS SUFFICIENT TO PRODUCE THE ANNUAL INCREASE SET FORTH ABOVE OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY, TO BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE DISTRICT OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER EXPENSES; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE IN 2005 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR SECTION 29-1-301,

LARIMER COUNTY PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

> SHIREBROOK # 27 REFERRED 5E

BOND QUESTION:

SHALL LARIMER COUNTY SHIREBROOK PUBLIC SHALL LARIMER COUNTY SHIREBROOK PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 27 DEBT BE INCREASED \$190,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$295,000; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$34,000 ANNUALLY, OR BY SUCH LESSER ANNUAL AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO PAY THE DISTRICT'S DEBT; SUCH DEBT TO CONSIST OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, REVENUE BONDS, LEASES, CONTRACTS, OR OTHER OBLIGATIONS, ISSUED OR INCURRED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING, REIMBURSING OR FINANCING ALL OR ANY PART OF THE COSTS OF ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING, RELOCATING, INSTALLING, COMPLETING AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING, WITHIN OR WITHOUT THE BOUNDARIES OF THE DISTRICT, STREET IMPROVEMENTS INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO IMPROVEMENTS TO RIFLE ROAD AND SETTLERS INFORMATION AND ANY INCIDENTAL AND APPURTENANT IMPROVEMENTS TO SAID DISTRICT FACILITIES, SUCH DEBT TO BEAR INTEREST AT A NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT IN EXCESS OF 9% PER ANNUM, SUCH INTEREST TO BE PAYABLE AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AND WHICH MAY COMPOUND AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE DISTRICT, SUCH DEBT TO BE ISSUED OR DISTRICT, SUCH DEBT TO BE ISSUED OR INCURRED AT ONE TIME OR FROM TIME TO TIME, TO BE PAID FROM ANY LEGALLY AVAILABLE MONEYS OF THE DISTRICT, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE PROCEEDS OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES OR SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS; SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM MILL LEVY IMPOSED ON ALL TAXABLE PROPERTY OF THE DISTRICT, WITHOUT LIMITATION OF RATE OR WITH SUCH LIMITATIONS AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE BOARD OR SPECIAL DETERMINED BY THE BOARD OR SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS, AND IN AMOUNTS SUFFICIENT TO ASSESSMENTS, AND IN AMOUNTS SUFFICIENT TO PRODUCE THE ANNUAL INCREASE SET FORTH ABOVE OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY, TO BE USED SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON THE DISTRICT'S DEBT; SUCH DEBT TO BE SOLD IN ONE SERIES OR MORE AT A PRICE ABOVE, BELOW OR EQUAL TO THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF SUCH DEBT AND ON SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS THE DISTRICT MAY DETERMINE, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION OF THE DEBT PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION OF THE DEBT PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF PREMIUM OF NOT TO EXCEED 3%; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF ANY SUCH DEBT AND THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, ANY OTHER REVENUE USED TO PAY SUCH DEBT, AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON, BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?

) YES

NO

SHIREBROOK PID # 27 REFERRED 5F

ORGANIZATION:

Shall Larimer County Shirebrook Public Improvement District No. 27 be organized?

YES

) NO

LARIMER COUNTY PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

SOLAR RIDGE PID # 21 REFERRED 5G

SHALL THE SOLAR RIDGE PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT #21 TAXES BE INCREASED \$30,500 ANNUALLY, OR BY SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS MAY ANNUALLY DETERMINE IS NECESSARY TO PAY DISTRICT OPERATIONAL COSTS AND TO PAY THE COSTS OF CONSTRUCTION, INSTALLATION AND CONTINUED MAINTENANCE OF ROADS WITHIN THE DISTRICT AND STONECREST DRIVE, SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM MILL LEVY IMPOSED WITHOUT LIMITATION OF RATE OR WITH SUCH LIMITATIONS AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE DISTRICT, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE IN 2005 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?

YES

TROTWOOD PID # 28 REFERRED 5H

SHALL THE PROPOSED TROTWOOD PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT #28 TAXES BE INCREASED \$10,000 ANNUALLY, OR BY SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS MAY ANNUALLY DETERMINE IS NECESSARY TO PAY DISTRICT OPERATIONAL COSTS AND TO PAY THE COSTS OF CONSTRUCTION, INSTALLATION AND CONTINUED MAINTENANCE OF ROADS WITHIN THE DISTRICT, SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM MILL LEVY IMPOSED WITHOUT LIMITATION OF RATE OR WITH SUCH LIMITATIONS AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE DISTRICT, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE IN 2005 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AND SHALL THE TROTWOOD PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 28 BE CREATED?

·)	Y	ES	
_)			

____NO

VINE DRIVE PID # 29 REFERRED 5I

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MILL LEVY:

SHALL LARIMER COUNTY VINE DRIVE PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 29 TAXES BE INCREASED \$5,000 ANNUALLY, OR BY SUCH LESSER ANNUAL AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO PAY THE DISTRICT'S OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER EXPENSES: SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM MILL LEVY IMPOSED WITHOUT LIMITATION OF RATE OR WITH SUCH LIMITATIONS AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE BOARD, AND IN AMOUNTS SUFFICIENT TO PRODUCE THE ANNUAL INCREASE SET FORTH ABOVE OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY, TO BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE DISTRICT'S OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER EXPENSES; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE IN 2005 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?

\bigcirc	YES
\frown	NO

LARIMER COUNTY PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

> VINE DRIVE PID # 29 REFERRED 5J

BOND QUESTION:

SHALL LARIMER COUNTY VINE DRIVE PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 29 DEBT BE INCREASED \$455,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$850,000; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$61,000 ANNUALLY, OR BY SUCH LESSER ANNUAL AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO PAY THE DISTRICT'S DEBT; SUCH DEBT TO CONSIST OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, REVENUE BONDS, LEASES, CONTRACTS, OR OTHER OBLIGATIONS, ISSUED OR INCURRED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING, REIMBURSING OR FINANCING ALL OR ANY PART OF THE COSTS OF ACQUIRING, COMSTRUCTING, RELOCATING, INSTALLING, COMSTRUCTING, RELOCATING, INSTALLING, COMPLETING AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING, WITHIN OR WITHOUT THE BOUNDARIES OF THE DISTRICT, STREET IMPROVEMENTS INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO IMPROVEMENTS TO BRYAN AVENUE, FREY AVENUE AND SYCAMORE STREET, AND ANY INCIDENTAL AND APPURTENANT IMPROVEMENTS TO SAID DISTRICT FACILITIES, SUCH DEBT TO BEAR INTEREST AT A NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT IN EXCESS OF 9% PER ANNUM, SUCH INTEREST TO BE PAYABLE AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AND WHICH MAY COMPOUND AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE DISTRICT, SUCH DEBT TO BE ISSUED OR INCURRED AT ONE TIME OR FROM TIME TO TIME, TO BE PAID FROM ANY LEGALLY AVAILABLE MONEYS OF THE DISTRICT, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE PROCEEDS OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES OR SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS; SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS; SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS; SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS; SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS; SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS; SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION OF THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON THE DISTRICT'S DEBT; SUCH DEBT PRIOR TO BOARD ON SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS THE DISTRICT MAY DETERMINE, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION OF THE DEBT PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF PREMIUM OF NOT TO EXCEED 3%; AND SHALL THE PR LARIMER COUNTY PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

WRANGLER MEADOWS PID # 26 REFERRED 5L

SHALL THE PROPOSED WRANGLER MEADOWS PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT #26 TAXES BE INCREASED \$107,520 ANNUALLY, OR BY SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS MAY ANNUALLY DETERMINE IS NECESSARY TO PAY DISTRICT OPERATIONAL COSTS AND TO PAY THE COSTS OF CONSTRUCTION, INSTALLATION AND CONTINUED MAINTENANCE OF ROADS WITHIN THE DISTRICT, SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM MILL LEVY IMPOSED WITHOUT LIMITATION OF RATE OR WITH SUCH LIMITATIONS AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE DISTRICT, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE IN 2005 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AND SHALL THE WRANGLER MEADOWS PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 26 BE CREATED?

YES

) NO

ESTES VALLEY PUBLIC LIBRARY DISTRICT

REFERRED 5M

ESTES VALLEY PUBLIC LIBRARY DISTRICT OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MILL LEVY QUESTION:

SHALL LARIMER COUNTY TAXES, FOR THE ESTES VALLEY PUBLIC LIBRARY DISTRICT, BE INCREASED BY \$265,000 ANNUALLY (ESTIMATED REVENUES IN THE YEAR 2005) AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RECEIVED THEREAFTER BY INCREASING THE LIBRARY DISTRICT MILL LEVY BY .89 MILLS TO A TOTAL OF 3.28 MILLS FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEFRAYING THE EXPENSES OF THE MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF THE ESTES VALLEY PUBLIC LIBRARY DISTRICT; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAX INCREASE IN 2005 AND THEREAFTER BE COLLECTED AND SPENT REGARDLESS OF THE LIMITATIONS OF SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S.; AND SHALL ALL REVENUES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT FROM SUCH INCREASE BE COLLECTED AND SPENT WITHOUT LIMITATION OR CONDITION, AND WITHOUT AFFECTING THE COLLECTION OR SPENDING OF ANY OTHER COUNTY REVENUES OR FUNDS UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR ANY OTHER LAW?

YES

NO

YES

) NO

ORGANIZATION:

Shall Larimer County Vine Drive Public Improvement District No. 29 be organized?

VINE DRIVE PID # 29

REFERRED 5K

YES

) NO