


**Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)?
(See Poster 2 for more information)**


Answered: 35 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly agree	5.71%	2
Agree	14.29%	5
Neither agree nor disagree	14.29%	5
Disagree	25.71%	9
Strongly disagree	25.71%	9
TOTAL		35

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)


Answered: 36 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly agree	2.78%	1
Agree	5.56%	2
Neither agree nor disagree	11.11%	4
Disagree	11.11%	4
Strongly disagree	25.00%	9
Other (please specify)	44.44%	16
TOTAL		36

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)


Answered: 36 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly agree	2.78%	1
Agree	5.56%	2
Neither agree nor disagree	13.89%	5
Disagree	13.89%	5
Strongly disagree	50.00%	18
TOTAL		36

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)


Answered: 35 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly agree	2.86%	1
Agree	8.57%	3
Neither agree nor disagree	17.14%	6
Disagree	28.57%	10
Strongly disagree	37.14%	13
TOTAL		35

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)


Answered: 35 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly agree	11.43%	4
Agree	8.57%	3
Neither agree nor disagree	8.57%	3
Disagree	14.29%	5
Strongly disagree	14.29%	5
Other (please specify)	42.86%	15
TOTAL		35

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)


Answered: 36 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)	52.78%	19
b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair	63.89%	23
c- Require feasibility analysis for the electrification of all permanent operation equipment including engines/motors	36.11%	13
d - Encourage the application of tankless production techniques	33.33%	12
e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring	47.22%	17
f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas	58.33%	21
g - Support pipeline for transportation of resoruces to limit truck traffic to and from site	50.00%	18
h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling	47.22%	17
Other (please specify)	58.33%	21
Total Respondents: 36		

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)


Answered: 36 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly agree	5.56%	2
Agree	22.22%	8
Neither agree nor disagree	2.78%	1
Disagree	16.67%	6
Strongly disagree	38.89%	14
TOTAL		36

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Answered: 36 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly agree	2.78%	1
Agree	16.67%	6
Neither agree nor disagree	36.11%	13
Disagree	11.11%	4
Strongly disagree	19.44%	7
TOTAL		36

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Answered: 24 Skipped: 13

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Friday, September 13, 2019 1:20:09 PM
Last Modified: Friday, September 13, 2019 1:29:24 PM
Time Spent: 00:09:15
IP Address: 198.49.6.225

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Disagree

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Disagree

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Disagree

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)

,

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair

,

e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring

,

f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas

g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site

,

h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Disagree

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Disagree

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

My concerns after attending the open house were:

1) Application requirements were plans with no meaningful commitment to responsibility. Plans will be boiler plate.

2) Third party monitoring subject to non-reporting and influence by oil and gas companies.

3) Limited county staffing for oversight.

Finally, while county staff were accessible and friendly at open house and at task force meetings they frequently respond to questions in a condescending manner. Effective facilitators should not interact in a perfunctory way with the public.

#2

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, September 14, 2019 5:53:33 AM
Last Modified: Saturday, September 14, 2019 6:02:21 AM
Time Spent: 00:08:47
IP Address: 67.164.174.211

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Agree,
Other (please specify):
Climate change should be included explicitly in "environment." The IPCC has said we need to reduce TOTAL greenhouse gas emissions by 50% by 2030, which is a conservative projection. Public policy - including Larimer County policy - needs to start taking climate change into account immediately.

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree,
Other (please specify):
Setbacks from places people occupy and other sensitive areas should be at least 2,500 feet, for all the reasons called for in the statewide ballot initiative in 2018.

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

- a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)
- ,
- b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair
- ,
- c- Require feasibility analysis for the electrification of all permanent operation equipment including engines/motors
- ,
- d - Encourage the application of tankless production techniques
- ,
- e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring
- ,
- f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas
- ,
- g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site
- ,
- h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Strongly disagree ,
Other (please specify):
I don't support the use of water - much of which permanently is removed from the water cycle - to extract oil and gas. Period.

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Our society - and Larimer County in particular - needs to move AWAY from oil and natural gas and TOWARD renewable energy as soon as possible, or else we will experience a climate catastrophe in which people and many of the other creatures currently living on earth will likely go extinct. The County's oil and gas policy should reflect this fact.

#3

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Friday, September 13, 2019 4:48:00 PM
Last Modified: Saturday, September 14, 2019 8:48:35 AM
Time Spent: 16:00:35
IP Address: 24.52.22.19

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Other (please specify):

While I welcome regulation, so many times with oil and gas 'regulation' seems to equal 'rubber stamp'. I'm in favor of a minimum of 1/2 mile setbacks, as that's the safety zone when there's an incident. I think current Colorado frack wells are far too close to people's homes, schools, and travel corridors.

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Other (please specify):

I'm skeptical of the county government's ability/willingness to stand up to oil and gas money. I agree with a moratorium on any new oil and gas in alignment with the Colorado Standards.

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Other (please specify):

While the threshold seems reasonable, I'm afraid of loopholes, I definitely think that a 2640 ft (1/2 mile) setback is necessary for any frack operations. What's happening at Bella Romero school in Greeley, must never happen in Larimer County. I don't want any wells in Larimer County.

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree ,

Other (please specify):

I don't agree with any wells in Larimer County. I remember a Labrador Retriever friend I had once who was not allowed in the living room. As soon as he got his nose in the room it wasn't long before he was on someone's lap.

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Other (please specify):

Look at the list you have. Do we really want to be even discussing this? No wells in Larimer County. The air is bad enough already.

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

Other (please specify):

I don't want wells, I want Larimer County to tell me what they're going to do about the Climate Emergency.

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Strongly agree,

Other (please specify):

If Strongly Agree means that I want to protect the water. I don't want water being used to frack for natural gas, and I don't want frack water to get into our good water system or to threaten wildlife.

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree ,

Other (please specify):

I prefer to use county roads to bicycle and drive. Already industry is a threat to my enjoyment in this way. Traffic is already a major problem in Larimer County.

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Please continue to plan on how you're going to hold off the Oil and Gas industry. No slant drilling, 2640 foot setbacks, solid plan to help other pedestrian/bicyclists/motorists/landowners cope with O&G Transportation impacts, and take into consideration the existing Climate Emergency in the World/US/Colorado. Please adhere to the moratorium on any new development in line with Colorado.

#4

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, September 14, 2019 8:35:32 AM
Last Modified: Saturday, September 14, 2019 9:23:44 AM
Time Spent: 00:48:11
IP Address: 24.52.22.19

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Other (please specify):

Standards have not been specified, as far as I know, and therefore I cannot say I agree or disagree. I agree that human, ecosystem, and planetary health should be prioritized, including water, air, land, etc. We know there are already too many greenhouse gases in the atmosphere and we need to stop adding to them as much and as soon as we possibly are able. We know that the safe evacuation distance from a hydraulic fracturing well is 2640' (or 1/2 mile) and therefore this should be the minimum setback for all wells.

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Other (please specify):

I don't know what regulations are going to be set; I agree that the Oil and Gas industry should be regulated, with the understanding that this is not just a "Not In My Back Yard" issue. The health of the whole front range is affected by Weld County--and all-- fracking, including air quality, and the whole planet is affected by what each county does.

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Other (please specify):

Since the minimum evacuation distance is 2640' in the event of an explosion at a well, I suggest that this should be the minimum standard for all setbacks.

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Disagree,
Other (please specify):
If the application does not meet the requirements, the application should be denied for non-compliance; no appeals necessary.

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Other (please specify):
All of this land is Indigenous land, stolen by colonizers and some of which is protected under sovereign nation to sovereign nation Treaty. All questions of mineral or surface use should be administered by Tribal Government. Also, there is no mention of Climate Breakdown, which should be the first concern of any project!

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

Other (please specify):
Monitoring air quality requires an independent monitor, not one paid by the industry it is monitoring, and it should be constant. None of this is safe, if one takes the climate crisis seriously. This is a waste of water, also.

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Strongly disagree,
Other (please specify):
We cannot afford to contaminate any of our water, which can never be "mitigated" back to its original state. We cannot afford to waste any water, and keeping it separate from streams, creeks, etc. is not possible. The Earth is a system, ecosystems work together, and no new fossil fuels can be safely generated.

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree,
Other (please specify):
Of course I am in favor of sufficient and effective regulations for health, safety, and infrastructure protection. But I feel that any special construction of access roads and infrastructure to support the development of oil and gas in Larimer County (and anywhere in the world, for that matter) is only exacerbating the problem of climate breakdown, and keeping us distracted from actually solving our energy use and how it affects the Earth.

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

At this point in the history of our planet, the minimal and best practice is to place a moratorium on any future fossil fuel development. We must learn to be more energy efficient and conservative with the resources we have and take under serious consideration how our present actions will affect our children's lives and beyond. Thank You!

#5

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, September 14, 2019 7:43:37 PM
Last Modified: Saturday, September 14, 2019 8:04:11 PM
Time Spent: 00:20:33
IP Address: 73.229.138.127

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 More requirements are needed, there is no mention of VOC monitoring, and mitigation. Also should require plans for people whom develop cancer, asthma and other illnesses by people are working/living/existing 5 mile radius of any drilling/fracking site.

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)

,

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair

,

c- Require feasibility analysis for the electrification of all permanent operation equipment including engines/motors

,

e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring

,

g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site

,

Other (please specify):

Require MONTHLY air quality monitoring. Oil and gas are entirely responsible for the lack of ozone in Colorado, and they are entirely responsible for the decrease of air quality.

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Strongly disagree ,

Other (please specify):

Not enough!!!!

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Strongly disagree ,

Other (please specify):

If oil and gas are going to use resources paid for by the taxpayers, they need to be responsible for up keep on those county, state, and city roads as well. We all know they don't live here and don't pay taxes here, they either to be charged heavy fees for public roads or be charged maintenance or taxed high fees. The tax payer needs to stop footing the bills for these companies to bankrupt local resources for their gain!!!

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Can we get some people who aren't paid for by oil and gas on this task force? Clearly none of you give a fuck about Colorado or Larimer county or the people wildlife in it. You are all in it to put money in your pockets! We see you!

#6

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, September 14, 2019 5:32:13 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, September 15, 2019 1:45:02 PM
Time Spent: 20:12:49
IP Address: 73.169.38.162

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Agree,
Other (please specify):
Safeguarding air and water quality are particularly important to protecting Larimer County residents' health, safety and welfare. Maintaining and improving air and water quality protects our farm and ranch land and our forests. Set backs and nuisance issue priorities are particularly important to nearby neighborhoods, schools etc.

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Agree

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Other (please specify):

The 1000 foot setbacks from homes, schools, sports fields, playgrounds, etc. should be from the property line, not the building. I like the 1000 ft. setback from drinking water sources or facilities (includes wells, reservoirs, lakes and ponds). I would add irrigation ditches because the deleterious effect on farms from possible contamination of ditch water. I like the 1000 ft. setback from floodways, and geological hazard areas. Please increase setbacks to designated outdoor activity (trails), wetlands of sensitive wildlife habitat - this is an especially important setback. 1000 ft. setback from an adjoining municipal boundary is fair to the municipality to decrease likelihood of land use disagreements between the involved governments and their residents. I like and agree with the stated threshold criteria. Regarding the application process - how will the County assure that operators who don't wish to comply with all requirements just chose to go the route of a hearing before the Commissioners instead of complying? Residents may have to go through the approval process with each application that does not comply rather than relying on the developed regulations.

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Other (please specify):

What assurance do County residents have that operators won't just chose to go the route of a hearing before the Commissioners rather than comply with the developed regulations?

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Strongly agree

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)

,

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair

,

c- Require feasibility analysis for the electrification of all permanent operation equipment including engines/motors

,

d - Encourage the application of tankless production techniques

,

e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring

,

f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas

g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site

,

h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling

,

Other (please specify):

Add use of Tier 4 Diesel engines, use of NeoFlo 4633 - less odor and less emissions. Increase frequency of 3rd party air quality to quarterly. Require frequent air emissions monitoring by operator. Require green completions. Consider continuous emissions monitoring.

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Agree,

Other (please specify):

All means possible should be used to protect water quality for residents, wildlife, farmers and ranchers. Ground water must be protected in addition to surface water features - need frequent leak detection, repair and remediation. Operator must be required to submit spill prevention and clean up plan as part of application. Please include the list of CU's AirWaterGas water quality team regarding chemicals used in fracturing fluid that most likely will contaminate ground water if released and ban the use of these chemicals. Storm water inspections be conducted weekly and immediately after a storm event. Repairs required of operator.

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Agree,

Other (please specify):

Add access road speed limits to reduce dust. Daytime only travel. Timing of truck travel (deliveries and pumpers) on access roads. Traffic signs. Pad and access road must accommodate multiple emergency vehicles.

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Thanks to County staff and task force for all their efforts.

#7

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, September 15, 2019 2:40:14 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, September 15, 2019 2:56:32 PM
Time Spent: 00:16:17
IP Address: 73.153.220.199

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Other (please specify):

The issue is that the task force is not aligned with the public. The task force works on behalf of the public and the public's priorities should be the task force's priorities. Air quality is #1.

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Other (please specify):

Setbacks should based on the science that is now clear in terms of public health. The set backs needs to be much greater to keep Larimer county residents safe

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Disagree

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)

,

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair

,

c- Require feasibility analysis for the electrification of all permanent operation equipment including engines/motors

,

d - Encourage the application of tankless production techniques

,

e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring

,

f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas

g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site

,

h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling

,

Other (please specify):

There should be specific air quality impact/emissions limits. These existing items do not go far enough and have too light of language. For example a "FEASIBILITY analysis" can always be architected in a way that makes it "not feasible". "ENCOURAGE on-site use of captured natural gas" means it likely won't be done.

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Other (please specify):

Again, this doesn't go far enough based on what Larimer county residents are asking for.

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Strongly disagree ,

Other (please specify):

This doesn't go far enough. They should be taxed heavily based on actual impacts to roads and residents from a noise, dust and otherwise standpoint. The roads were not designed for this use. Residents are asking for the task force to do more in all areas, including this one.

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

On poster #2 "What we have heard so far" it is evident that the public has provided the task force and county that the priorities they should be operating off of. There is absolutely no reason that the task force's priorities should differ from the public's priorities. We are clearly asking for action based on the notions of and direct capabilities that SB-181 has established...those notions being putting human health, public safety, and environment first. Please act on behalf of Larimer County residents who are clearly asking for change, and on the behalf of operators.

#8

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Monday, September 16, 2019 7:41:16 AM
Last Modified: Monday, September 16, 2019 8:25:20 AM
Time Spent: 00:44:04
IP Address: 65.102.193.217

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Strongly disagree,
 Other (please specify):
 The task force should be suspended until the COGCC has issued their final report and regulations. At that time, the citizens of Larimer County must be allowed to present objection or support of each task force candidate before the Commissioners determine who will staff the task force. No O & G permit applications should be considered until the Larimer Task Force has finalized it's regulations. All current O & G activity should be stopped until Task Force finalization.

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree,
 Other (please specify):
 Most Fire Districts recommend and use a minimum 2,500 foot evacuation zone when there is an industrial explosion, fire, toxic leak. This should be the minimum setback of any fracking site from housing, office buildings, schools, playgrounds, athletic fields, stadiums, stores, malls, waterways, lakes, or any other areas with concentrations of humans. There should never be allowed "conditional" permitting lessening the setback distance.

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Other (please specify):
The application must include language protecting the taxpayers from traumatic events, illness from VOC's, and the cost to plug abandoned holes. It would require a form of bonding, fully paid by the operator to the State of Colorado, which probably should be somewhere close to ten million dollars per bore hole.

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

Other (please specify):
Leak detection should be a constant, independent third party operated function. It must be paid for by the O & G operator. 24 hours allowed to stop any leak, \$50,000 per day fine, including day leak discovered.

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Strongly disagree ,
Other (please specify):
All independent third party testing. Fully paid by Operator. Weekly testing within two miles of site. Weekly monitoring of any potential potable water within two miles.

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Strongly disagree ,
Other (please specify):
If the site road empties onto a paved road, 1,000 feet, minimum, of the site road must be paved ending at the paved road, to eliminate "tracking" of dirt and mud onto the paved road.

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

No permits approved on any public land.

#9

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 8:35:22 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 8:39:32 AM
Time Spent: 00:04:09
IP Address: 205.168.109.226

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Strongly disagree,
 Other (please specify):
 Colorado already has the strictest O&G rules and regs.

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree,
 Other (please specify):
 Please quit letting uneducated paranoid people try to change the law. Coloradoans already voted no on 112 for futher setbacks!!!

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 no changes necessary

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations? **Respondent skipped this question**

#10

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 11:03:01 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 11:06:05 AM
Time Spent: 00:03:03
IP Address: 76.25.157.164

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Neither agree nor disagree**

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information) **f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas**

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information) **Neither agree nor disagree**

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

No

#11

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 11:59:25 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 12:04:21 PM
Time Spent: 00:04:56
IP Address: 129.19.1.10

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Agree,
Other (please specify):
- Public Health should be number one. - I agree with public priorities strongly. - Land Use is first priority of Task Forcer?
- Reconsider.

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Other (please specify):
- Agree - Why are so many people on the O&G Task Force connected to the O&G industry?

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Agree

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

- a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)
- ,
- b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair
- ,
- c- Require feasibility analysis for the electrification of all permanent operation equipment including engines/motors
- ,
- d - Encourage the application of tankless production techniques
- ,
- e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring
- ,
- f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas
- ,
- g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site
- ,
- h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Agree

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Respondent skipped this question

#12

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 12:06:12 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 12:38:00 PM
Time Spent: 00:31:48
IP Address: 23.24.131.45

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Disagree,
Other (please specify):
Set backs are less priority, our community has already voted on and agreed on current set backs. How many times do we have to vote and go over them.

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Other (please specify):
Where is the interests/protection of land owners and mineral owners in all this? Is the county prepared to manage loss of use proceedings?

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Agree,
Other (please specify):
reluctantly agree, as long as set backs do not increase.

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Agree

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Agree

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair

Other (please specify):

The technology and systems work as they should now, recent studies have shown our air standards locally to have improved dramatically even though our population has increased dramatically.

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Disagree,

Other (please specify):

Is there factual reasons to increase or ad additional standards? Is something wrong and not working now with these operations? If not, why add more restrictions to an industry that feeds our economy safely. Is adding more restriction just to pacify the out of state extremists?

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Other (please specify):

Do we put other industries through this when they expand using our roads.....Walmart? Scheels? Costco? Is the revenue/economy benefits for our area not worth O&G using our roads?

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Safe Industry, good jobs, huge benefit to our economy, schools, parks, housing prices, common lets use common sense here and make changes only if facts deem necessary. Stop the witch hunt and honor OUR VOTE! No more restrictions. Its already sad we are being forced to swallow 181 AFTER our community voted twice.

#13

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 1:08:17 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 1:14:03 PM
Time Spent: 00:05:46
IP Address: 129.19.1.10

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Disagree

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 Who pays for accidents/spills, health problems, a decrease in any H2O quality and once they deplete it then what?

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 New developers should have more than a 350' setback

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Disagree,
 Other (please specify):
 Neighborhood meeting and public hearings should be required for all applications

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 Plan for departure- clean up
 etc...

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)

,

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair

,

Other (please specify):

More frequent monitoring - 3rd party

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Strongly disagree ,

Other (please specify):

What happens to the tank containment areas, monitoring H2O quality after they leave?

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Other (please specify):

What about impact to wildlife in these areas?

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Respondent skipped this question

#14

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 1:19:16 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 1:30:07 PM
Time Spent: 00:10:50
IP Address: 129.19.1.10

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 I strongly AGREE with the public priorities I strongly DISAGREE with the Task Force priorities

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 Need more information. *Bonding. If drillers go bankrupt? Let them (O&G) pay for clean-up. It costs \$80-100,000 per well to remediate and plug wells

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 See #4

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Disagree,
 Other (please specify):
 2500' minimum setbacks from residential, water sources, hiking trails, natural areas. No drilling in parks or open spaces.

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 Strong Disagree - Binding. In case of price of oil drops and driller goes bankrupt

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)

,

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair

,

f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas

Other (please specify):

* Impose strict air quality standards, then let O&G pay to meet those standards * Impose stiff fines for leaks, spills and explosions, etc.

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Other (please specify):

*Impose strict fines for spills * Where will water come from?
* Monitor wells for BLOW-OUTS

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Other (please specify):

With all the drilling activity in our state, why don't we have the best roads in the country? Charge oil and gas industry a fee for using our roads, or raise the taxes they pay.

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Respondent skipped this question

#15

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 1:19:19 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 1:41:18 PM
Time Spent: 00:21:58
IP Address: 129.19.1.10

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Strongly disagree, 0

Strongly disagree

Other (please specify):

What about reverse setback for new urban development next to existing wells? These should be the same as new well by existing development. The task force's top 6 priorities only overlap the public's priorities in one area - environment. The composition of the Task Force is heavily weighted with O&G and real estate interests and are NOT representing the public's priorities. Disband the Task Force and start over with a balanced body and priorities of the public will be represented on the Task Force

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Other (please specify):

NO - the draft purposes speaks to "mitigation of adverse impacts" rather than "prevention of adverse impacts". The draft authority and applicability fails to address post application monitoring of permitted wells, new and existing. Monitoring to include: air quality emission (esp. voc/method) water quality (runoff, spills, flooding) Light and noise impacts to surrounding land uses, as well as traffic

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree, 0

Strongly disagree

Other (please specify):

I would like to see the setback for new wells and for new urban development adjacent to the existing wells be determined by scientist data(i.e. blast zone/fire zone spread, emissions fumes, etc. Also, we need to better protect wetland, since there are connected to waters bodies 1000' ft

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Other (please specify):

The issues are much greater than just setbacks and should include monitoring plans more frequently than semi-annually. What about transportation and processing requirements in reference to water and waste water! (brine and chemicals).

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Other (please specify):

STRONG DISAGREE - Items #12 - 16 should not just be a "paper plan" but should mandate continuous monitoring and reporting. Again, the plans are for "mitigation" NOT "prevention"

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)

,

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair

,

c- Require feasibility analysis for the electrification of all permanent operation equipment including engines/motors

,

d - Encourage the application of tankless production techniques

,

e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring

,

f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas

g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site

,

h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling

,

Other (please specify):

I would encourage the County to require on-site continuous air quality monitoring and reporting. Our area is a non-attainment area and the wells are a major contributor. People are DYING

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Other (please specify):

NO oil and gas wells, tank batteries etc., within 500 year flood plain. Adopt all "possible standards for the County to consider"

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree ,

Other (please specify):

Shared access to multiple wells should be encouraged to reduce numbers of access roads.

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Respondent skipped this question

#16

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, September 18, 2019 12:58:09 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, September 18, 2019 1:15:12 PM
Time Spent: 00:17:03
IP Address: 129.19.1.10

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Other (please specify):

Meaning I agree with the public priorities rather than those of the Task Force. We must make air and water quality the most important considerations: we cannot have oil & gas poisoning the air and water and wasting water in its operations. The Task Force placing Natural Resources last on the list is simply inexcusable.

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Other (please specify):

Draft purpose seems OK at a first look. The draft authority and applicability seems vague on public impact and only indirectly (see #4) deals with the health, safety and well being of the public, which should be the #1 priority. With purpose defining "necessary" is problematic. Who knows how oil & gas will define that?

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Disagree,

Other (please specify):

setting setbacks do not seem sufficient or consistent. Especially troubling is the wetlands setback of only 500'. Considering the secrecy surrounding fracking chemicals, we simply do not know if 1,000' is sensible.

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Other (please specify):

Initial fees seem pretty low considering profits. Not allowing public participation with approved sites is unacceptable. People used to be involved when risks to their health and safety are evident from oil & gas development.

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Other (please specify):

We definitely need bonding in excess of the current standards. Never should any oil & gas company be allowed to abandon wells and leave the clean-up to tax payers.

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)

,

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair

,

e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring

,

f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas

h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling

,

Other (please specify):

Be sure to establish baselines so that oil & gas cannot cop out saying it is not their fault.

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Other (please specify):

Yes, again we need a good baseline data on water sources and wetlands. Not enough of a setback in my opinion. Maybe we should monitor the water used by oil & gas in fracking operations- how much is used and wasted, is it possible to reclaim it?

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree ,

Other (please specify):

Yeah, fine. But all these roads can be eliminated if we have standards keeping fly-by-night and greedy companies from trying to frack as much of the county as possible. Roads, roads everywhere.

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Respondent skipped this question

#17

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, September 19, 2019 11:11:18 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, September 19, 2019 11:23:03 AM
Time Spent: 00:11:45
IP Address: 199.102.122.4

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 There should be a moratorium on fracking until the state regs come out. I had to move from Weld county to Larimer because of health impacts from fracking!

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 There should be a moratorium on fracking until the state regs come out. I had to move from Weld county to Larimer because of health impacts from fracking!

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 There should be a moratorium on fracking until the state regs come out. I had to move from Weld county to Larimer because of health impacts from fracking!

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 There should be a moratorium on fracking until the state regs come out. I had to move from Weld county to Larimer because of health impacts from fracking!

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 There should be a moratorium on fracking until the state regs come out. I had to move from Weld county to Larimer because of health impacts from fracking!

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Other (please specify):

There should be a moratorium on fracking until the state regs come out. I had to move from Weld county to Larimer because of health impacts from fracking!

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Other (please specify):

There should be a moratorium on fracking until the state regs come out. I had to move from Weld county to Larimer because of health impacts from fracking!

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

I worked extremely hard with the operator and COGCC to ensure that the fracking site next to my home had "state of the art" equipment and monitoring. It was considered the "poster child" of excellence in 2014. Nevertheless, I had to move to Larimer for health reasons in 2015. An incident at that site later caused a football game at the high school to be evacuated and the wells are now shut down. I served on the Governor's Oil and Gas Task Force and worked with the industry to improve things for Coloradoans. They basically stonewalled, renege on promises and worked to protect their bottom line--not people's health, worker's safety or the environment. Do not be naive. Do the will of the people not the industry. You have an opportunity to protect Larimer County. Please do so.

#18

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, September 19, 2019 10:03:37 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, September 19, 2019 10:38:40 PM
Time Spent: 00:35:02
IP Address: 73.169.90.162

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Strongly

disagree

Other (please specify):

I don't think fracking should even be an option for Larimer County given the 100s of documented scientific studies in peer reviewed journals that show the negative effects on the environment and human health. Many, many locations in the US and around the world have said NO to fracking. We should too. Why should Larimer County be an experimental lab for oil and gas profiteers? The costs are way way too high for now and the future.

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Strongly

disagree

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly

disagree

Other (please specify):

1,000 foot setbacks does not even begin to provide enough protection for the volatile organic compounds leaked at fracking sites. Get real. Have some compassion for pregnant women whose fetuses can be harmed with one exposure of fracking related VOCs. Have some compassion for people with asthma, and the elderly. Read the data, PLEASE.

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Other (please specify):

My first choice is a moratorium on all fossil fuel extraction and investment in renewable sources of energy. If fracking is allowed, all applications should meet to more stringent set of criteria. Well publicized neighborhood meetings are a must. The fees should include clean up costs up front for capping wells, and jail terms for persons responsible for leaving abandoned wells to leak dangerous chemicals.

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Other (please specify):

All 29 steps are necessary. Neighborhood groups should be able to review the plans. Also, let's replace the term "odor" with what it is----chemical exposure. Mitigation will never make the land whole again. Fracking is a lose-lose proposition. We don't need it in the 21st century.

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)

,

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair

,

c- Require feasibility analysis for the electrification of all permanent operation equipment including engines/motors

,

d - Encourage the application of tankless production techniques

,

e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring

,

f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas

h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling

,

Other (please specify):

Continuous 24/7 air and water monitoring by a competent non industry organization is absolutely essential. Bi-annual is not adequate. Again, we do not have to allow fracking at all. Investing in renewable energy is the way to go.

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Other (please specify):

Keep fracking away from water sources as far as possible. Test water 24/7. People in TX have had to leave their homes due to the pollution caused by fracking. Is this what we really want?

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Other (please specify):

Taxpayer dollars should no be used for road repair caused by fracking vehicles.

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

MORATORIUM on oil and gas, and joining the 21st century by developing and incentivizing renewable energy sources.

#19

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Friday, September 20, 2019 10:16:56 AM
Last Modified: Friday, September 20, 2019 10:23:24 AM
Time Spent: 00:06:28
IP Address: 74.205.153.124

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information) **Respondent skipped this question**

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information) **Respondent skipped this question**

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Respondent skipped this question**

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Respondent skipped this question**

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information) **Respondent skipped this question**

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information) **Respondent skipped this question**

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information) **Respondent skipped this question**

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information) **Respondent skipped this question**

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Larimer County will have few applications for oil and gas operations compared to its neighbor to the east, Weld County. I suggest, as a former legislator who represented parts of Larimer that have some oil and gas and as a former Weld County Commissioner, that Larimer either completely mimic Weld's already adopted regulations or seek an IGA with Weld and pay Weld to process applications within Larimer. It would be way cheaper than to have all the expertise required to process so few applications.

#20

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, September 22, 2019 7:58:39 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, September 22, 2019 8:00:12 PM
Time Spent: 00:01:32
IP Address: 71.33.186.126

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information) **Strongly agree**

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information) **Strongly agree**

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Strongly agree**

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Strongly agree**

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information) **Strongly agree**

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

- a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)
- ,
- b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair
- ,
- c- Require feasibility analysis for the electrification of all permanent operation equipment including engines/motors
- ,
- d - Encourage the application of tankless production techniques
- ,
- e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring
- ,
- f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas
- ,
- g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site
- ,
- h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Strongly agree

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Strongly agree

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Respondent skipped this question

#21

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Monday, September 23, 2019 10:25:35 AM
Last Modified: Monday, September 23, 2019 10:59:41 AM
Time Spent: 00:34:05
IP Address: 96.66.76.17

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Agree,
Other (please specify):
I agree with what the Task Force has put as top regulation priorities. As for the public results, I think more education is needed in these areas. CDPHE is revamping its regulations now to deal with air quality and will establish more inspections and reporting requirements than what is required currently. Having the County add to it may just be a paperwork exercise rather than achieving any actual benefit.

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Agree

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree,
Other (please specify):
The planning process should be similar regardless of set-back requirements. Operators should have the option of working with landowners on set-backs if they are seeking less than the proposed set-back requirements.

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Disagree,
Other (please specify):
The review process should first look to see if the issues can be resolved or worked out with landowner rather than go straight to Special Review or public hearing process.

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Other (please specify):

I agree that an air quality plan is needed, but requiring base air quality readings for the proposed site is a little far fetched at this point. Trying to decide what type of monitoring and equipment to be used at this point is subjective. When does the study begin? What pollutants would you be looking for? What part of the year? How about other industries in the area are they going to be required to conduct similar studies or be a part of current studies? What is an acceptable level of emissions? No criteria has been set.

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

c- Require feasibility analysis for the electrification of all permanent operation equipment including engines/motors

,

d - Encourage the application of tankless production techniques

,

f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas

g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site

,

h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling

,

Other (please specify):

We need to leave the air quality monitoring and plans for leak detection and repair up to the CDPHE as they are working on revamping current regulations. Air quality monitoring on a bi-annual basis is not going to provide information that will be useful for compliance purposes. It is a snapshot in time and does not take into consideration other construction or development that may occur around the subject site. Again, is the County going to require other industries to conduct air monitoring of their activities at the same frequency? I'm not sure what is meant by alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring. You do not dispose of VOCs. This would be covered under f. - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas. You can encourage on-site use of storage tank vapors.

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Agree,

Other (please specify):

Need to show caution on water quality plan that includes baseline testing of lakes, ponds, etc. Are other industries required to do this? Construction industry with its runoff have chance to impact water ways. Having proper secondary containment and stormwater controls in place should suffice and sampling only conducted if contaminants have potentially reached bodies of water.

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Agree

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Respondent skipped this question

#22

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Monday, September 23, 2019 12:56:44 PM
Last Modified: Monday, September 23, 2019 12:59:20 PM
Time Spent: 00:02:35
IP Address: 69.85.139.10

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information) **Disagree**

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information) **Disagree**

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Disagree**

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Disagree**

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information) **Disagree**

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information) **f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas**

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information) **Disagree**

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information) **Disagree**

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Respondent skipped this question

#23

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Monday, September 23, 2019 2:16:03 PM
Last Modified: Monday, September 23, 2019 2:54:06 PM
Time Spent: 00:38:03
IP Address: 73.3.250.182

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Agree,
Other (please specify):
Nuisance issues tend to be temporary and therefore should be ranked lower than impacts that are more long-lasting and pervasive.

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Other (please specify):
"Minimal impact" is too subjective. There should be clear standards. If they can't be met--no permit.

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree,
Other (please specify):
Proposed set-backs are not sufficient. Scientific research indicates that significant negative health impacts are associated with living within a 2 mi. radius of wells. Anything closer than 2,000' is ludicrous! The numbers on the chart seem arbitrary or at best based on out-dated regulations.

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Disagree,
Other (please specify):
If the proposal doesn't meet requirements, why should it be considered via a special review process?!

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Other (please specify):

The devil is in the details. There are very few details here! To state that an operator must have "a plan" for waste mitigation or emergency planning, or whatever, doesn't say anything about what those plans must include. What criteria will be applied to distinguish a good plan from a bad plan? In addition we need to include requirements for 24/7 methane monitoring; sufficient bonding to cover costs of sealing (and eventually resealing in perpetuity) all wells and for removing abandoned pipeline; agreement to cease operations on high ozone days; procedures for notifying the public of leaks, explosions, etc. immediately upon discovery; set-back regulations for all pipelines.

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)

,

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair

,

c- Require feasibility analysis for the electrification of all permanent operation equipment including engines/motors

,

d - Encourage the application of tankless production techniques

,

e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring

,

f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas

g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site

,

h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling

,

Other (please specify):

This is the best poster yet!! It includes details! Kudos to whoever prepared this.

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Disagree,

Other (please specify):

Too weak. We need to look at what companies are being charged for water that has to be taken out of the water cycle. How will quantities be regulated?

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Other (please specify):

Didn't have time to look at this one. (It was kind of hidden.)

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Bottom line: According to a just released state report the oil and gas industry has never had a profitable quarter in Colorado. They are staying afloat by racking up debt. We need to stop evaluating every regulation on the basis of whether or not it is financially viable for this failing industry. They need to absorb all the costs associated with their operations, including the negative externalities that have been foisted upon the public in terms of degraded air quality, safety issues, water contamination, hazardous waste--not to mention global warming--or close up shop. According to SB181 regulations should give priority to public health and safety and the environment. This is what the citizens of Larimer County are expecting and deserve.

#24

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 7:34:14 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 7:36:43 AM
Time Spent: 00:02:28
IP Address: 69.85.139.10

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information) **f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas**

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Respondent skipped this question

#25

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 9:23:02 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 9:27:06 AM
Time Spent: 00:04:04
IP Address: 69.85.139.10

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information) **f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas**

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information) **Neither agree nor disagree**

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

I feel that our economy needs a strong oil and gas program. Weld County is an example of how a strong oil and gas program helps a state.

#26

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 12:25:22 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 12:34:56 PM
Time Spent: 00:09:33
IP Address: 69.85.139.10

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information) **Disagree**

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information) **Disagree**

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Disagree**

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Disagree**

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information) **Disagree**

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

- b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair**
- f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas**
- g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site**

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information) **Disagree**

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications?
(See Poster 8 for more information) **Disagree**

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations? **Respondent skipped this question**

#27

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 2:25:33 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, September 25, 2019 2:42:02 PM
Time Spent: 00:16:29
IP Address: 72.19.141.120

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Strongly agree

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 Mostly agree, but are not stringent and specific enough

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 The distances identified are too close to residential and sensitive communities (e.g., school and health care facilities), and to environmentally sensitive areas. There should be NO operations on open space/natural lands.

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 The first tier appears to be at risk of becoming industry-driven rather than by the desires and expectations of county citizens. The administrative process as proposed needs MUCH more public notification and input and to be more responsive to and protective of health and the environment. Also, one person having sign-off is NOT a good idea, gives industry too much opportunity for influence - influence that the public would be blind to.

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 Good list to begin with. Now, please include other areas of concern, including requirements for enforcement, penalties, and regular neutral, 3rd-party monitoring.

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)

,

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair

,

c- Require feasibility analysis for the electrification of all permanent operation equipment including engines/motors

,

d - Encourage the application of tankless production techniques

,

e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring

,

f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas

g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site

,

h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling

,

Other (please specify):

Change "encourage" to require and remove the flexibility for non-compliance.

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Other (please specify):

Omits standards that should be included. This section should be much stronger in protection of the county's limited water supplies. Will the county ignore the demands on fresh water by households and agriculture? How will the county replace all the millions (billions?) of gallons of water drilling operations will require?

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Other (please specify):

Much too superficial!

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

No flaring. Period.

No open pits. Period.

No operations on open space or natural lands.

And, how does the county plan to compensate for all the VOC's our citizens are exposed to due to Weld County operations? Or does the county plan just to add to it and pretend short-term high paying jobs that cause long-term damage to our health and the environment are more important than long-term, healthy local food production and the health and safety of our citizens and our environment??

Thanks for all your hard work. Please do it with long-term goals in mind.

#28

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Monday, September 30, 2019 9:46:29 AM
Last Modified: Monday, September 30, 2019 9:48:27 AM
Time Spent: 00:01:57
IP Address: 69.85.139.10

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Agree

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Agree

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Agree

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Agree

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

no

#29

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Monday, September 30, 2019 3:01:45 PM
Last Modified: Monday, September 30, 2019 3:03:02 PM
Time Spent: 00:01:16
IP Address: 69.85.139.10

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Strongly disagree

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Respondent skipped this question

#30

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Monday, September 30, 2019 2:12:16 PM
Last Modified: Monday, September 30, 2019 3:06:47 PM
Time Spent: 00:54:31
IP Address: 216.160.179.148

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 While I agree in general with the items and order given in the public comments, I strongly disagree with the order of priority in the task force column.

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 While I do agree with the Purpose, Authority and Applicability of SB 181 as it pertains to Larimer County, I believe the activities of the task force are premature. The state will be setting certain minimum standards that Larimer will need to abide by. At the last Task Force meeting, lower standards for set backs than those likely to come from the state were receiving serious discussion.

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 Unless I am strongly mistaken, a recent article in the Denver Post indicated that current interim setback standards for approval of state well drilling permits are at least twice what is listed in poster 4. Again we should be waiting to see what the state does first before Larimer does anything. In the interim, there should be a moratorium on new permits until both new state and county regulations are in place.

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree ,
 Other (please specify):
 I believe all well permit applications should follow the Special Review Process.

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Strongly agree

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)

,

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair

,

e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring

,

g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site

,

h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling

,

Other (please specify):

Items d and f should be required not just encouraged. As far as item c goes if an analysis shows this is feasible, it should also be mandatory. If natural gas generated on the site can not be used, it should be mandatory to capture and use elsewhere instead of flaring it off. I believe there should be no uncaptured VOC emissions.

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Agree,

Other (please specify):

I believe bullet four under water quality should read, Bermed containment areas..... The possible standards for consideration should be mandatory standards.

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Agree,

Other (please specify):

While I agree with the general statements on Poster 8, I also believe the Permittee should be required to post a bond to cover any work needed to repair long term damage to roads and/or subgrade by well and tank site truck usage. The bond to be released only upon restoration of the site after the site is no longer functional.

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

My final comment is that every new oil or gas well drilled is a denial of the impacts that burning fossil fuels has on global warming. Oil and gas use and production needs to be reduced as soon as possible.

#31

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Monday, September 30, 2019 2:12:37 PM
Last Modified: Monday, September 30, 2019 3:10:55 PM
Time Spent: 00:58:17
IP Address: 216.160.179.148

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 I am in general agreement with the regulation priorities from the public and strongly disagree with the priorities identified by the Task Force especially when it comes to air and water quality.

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 I feel the county is rushing thru this process and am not clear as to why it is not in the interest of our community to wait until the regulations have been promulgated as a result of Senate Bill 181 and then address the needs of our community as it relates to oil and gas regulations.

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree ,
 Other (please specify):
 There should be a moratorium on new permits until both new state and county regs are in place.

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree ,
 Other (please specify):
 All well permit applications should follow the Special Review Process.

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Strongly agree

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)

,

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair

,

e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring

,

g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site

,

h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling

,

Other (please specify):

Items d & f should be required. Regarding item c - If analysis shows it is feasible to be electrified then it should be mandatory.

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Agree,

Other (please specify):

The 'possible standards' listed for the County to consider should be required.

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Agree,

Other (please specify):

I am concerned with long term damage and who will bear the costs of repairs.

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Methane gas is a key contributor to climate change as it traps heat at 84 times the rate of CO2 contributing towards 25% of global warming. Unlike CO2 which reductions can take decades before showing up in reducing climate impacts, reductions in methane have an almost immediate impact. We need all the climate levers we can pull. It would be a criminal regulation to make allowances for any escape of methane from well drilling and storage sites.

#32

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Monday, September 30, 2019 3:27:00 PM
Last Modified: Monday, September 30, 2019 4:09:44 PM
Time Spent: 00:42:44
IP Address: 73.243.190.207

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 I agree with the PUBLIC because Air & Water Quality and the environment are the most important factors not land use and setbacks like the task force has identified.

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 I would hope that setbacks although not stated fall in one of the categories mentioned. Appropriate setbacks in the realm of 2500 feet from schools, buildings and playgrounds, homes, hospitals and public facilities should be seriously considered! I hope the county will due PROPER DUE DILIGENCE (DD) on all applications including the operator's financial securities, indemnification and insurance.

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree,
 Other (please specify):
 I would hope appropriate setbacks in the realm of 2500 feet from schools, buildings and playgrounds, homes, hospitals and public facilities should be seriously considered and adopted!

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree,
 Other (please specify):
 Meetings with neighbors who fall within 2500 feet, along with Public Hearings should be a requirement of either tier. Application or permits fees are too low as well.

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Other (please specify):

I would add that the operator should have to demonstrate financial capabilities that would be able cover the costs should problems arise such as spills, fires, explosions so the public doesn't have to pay for them or any reclamation if the operator fails to live up to their responsibilities. All of these applications and processes should be considered public with documents open for inspection by the public and copies made upon request.

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)

,

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair

,

c- Require feasibility analysis for the electrification of all permanent operation equipment including engines/motors

,

d - Encourage the application of tankless production techniques

,

e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring

,

f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas

g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site

,

h - Require water delivery to the site to discourage water hauling

,

Other (please specify):

Require Bi-Annual Third-Party Air Quality Monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator) but verified by and approved by county staff, BOCC or some other public focused entity.

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Other (please specify):

Require a water quality plan that includes baseline testing of water drinking wells and lakes with annual third-party monitoring and reporting of drinking water reservoirs and wells. The 3rd party should be approved by county staff, BOCC or some other public focused entity

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Other (please specify):

I would hope that the fees being considered and paid for by the O&G Operator would be to the damage done to the public roadways by the heavy O&G equipment and vehicles that access them. If this is covered in the Require Capital Transportation Expansion fees then great but if not then it should be.

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

I would hope that the entire process including but not limited to permitting, transportation, financial viability, air, water qualities and environmental issues are considered public with the public having access to all of the information upon request. Transparency in this entire process is paramount if the public trust is to be expected or gained!

#33

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Monday, September 30, 2019 4:57:40 PM
Last Modified: Monday, September 30, 2019 5:41:27 PM
Time Spent: 00:43:46
IP Address: 73.153.221.60

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Disagree,
 Other (please specify):
 Air quality and water quality are in more need of strict regulations to assure water and air quality. I would think that Nuisance and Transportation already have regulations that just need reviewed and enforcement.

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 Agree, except that date by December 2019.

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Disagree,
 Other (please specify):
 1/4-1/2 mile for those listed as 1000' on chart #4; 1000+' for Outdoor activities, trails, wetlands.

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Other (please specify):
 Neighborhood Meetings and Public Hearings should be required on all Public Site Plans

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Agree

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)

,

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair

,

c- Require feasibility analysis for the electrification of all permanent operation equipment including engines/motors

,

d - Encourage the application of tankless production techniques

,

e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring

,

f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Agree

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Neither agree nor disagree

Other (please specify):

Cost for building access and haul roads should be paid by O&G

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

No, but thank you for asking.

#34

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Monday, September 30, 2019 8:38:43 PM
Last Modified: Monday, September 30, 2019 8:41:21 PM
Time Spent: 00:02:37
IP Address: 75.30.249.46

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair ,

f - Encourage on-site use of captured natural gas ,

g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? **Strongly disagree**
(See Poster 8 for more information)

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

In the United States, we only produce 12 million barrels of oil per day and we need over 21 million. We are choked by foreign countries as we need to be able to drill in the U.S.A.

#35

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Monday, September 30, 2019 6:21:11 PM
Last Modified: Monday, September 30, 2019 9:43:30 PM
Time Spent: 03:22:19
IP Address: 76.25.159.173

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information)

Disagree,
Other (please specify):
If you choose to allow this, air quality should be top priority, please do something more! The emissions from oil and gas are a major contributor to our ozone and greenhouse gases. Complacency is unacceptable and negatively affects public health. We can't do anything about weld but we need to do something to cut emissions here as much as possible

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information)

Other (please specify):
I agree that the health and safety and welfare should be protected and promoted. However, I do not see that possible by putting industrial sites within 1,000 feet of homes. How is this promoting our health in this county?

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Strongly disagree,
Other (please specify):
Although I agree setbacks need to be increased beyond what they are now, 1,000 ft is not enough. Based on data, I'd say 2,500ft is better but still not enough. Also, I'm confused by the setbacks and procedures. Are these distances not actual setbacks but just used to decide which procedure is used? Definitive setbacks are needed in order to protect public health, safety, and welfare, our wildlife and the environment.

Oil and Gas Questions for September 2019

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information)

Disagree,

Other (please specify):

I think most if not all applications should be special review. Administrative review only makes sense in the middle of nowhere, not 1001 ft from a home or school. That's insane.

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information)

Other (please specify):

Agree but I'd add company violations history report for all states not just Colorado and require background checks on all employees

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

a - Require bi-annual third-party air quality monitoring (paid by oil and gas facility owner/operator)

,

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair

,

e - Require alternative disposal of VOC's to eliminate flaring

,

Other (please specify):

Do "encourage and support" change anything really? Why bother? Put in regulations that actually improve our air. Require a plan and then enforce it.

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information)

Agree,

Other (please specify):

I'd like to see the county not selling our water to this industry. Protect and preserve our water.

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information)

Agree

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

A moratorium until the state finishes rule making

#36

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, October 01, 2019 9:50:17 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, October 01, 2019 9:52:08 AM
Time Spent: 00:01:50
IP Address: 192.196.164.22

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information) **Disagree**

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information) **Disagree**

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Agree**

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information) **Neither agree nor disagree**

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information) **g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site**

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information) **Strongly disagree**

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information) **Neither agree nor disagree**

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Revenue and jobs from oil and gas are good for Colorado

#37

COMPLETE

Collector: Online responses (Web Link)
Started: Friday, October 04, 2019 9:00:51 AM
Last Modified: Friday, October 04, 2019 9:04:06 AM
Time Spent: 00:03:15
IP Address: 73.243.86.233

Page 1

Q1 Do you agree with the top regulation priorities identified by the Task Force and public (including land use, air quality, setbacks, water quality, environment, nuisance issues, natural resources, and transportation)? (See Poster 2 for more information) **Disagree**

Q2 Do you agree with the draft purpose, authority and applicability statements? (See Poster 3 for more information) **Disagree**

Q3 What is your opinion of the possible siting setbacks and threshold criteria for deciding what planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Disagree**

Q4 Do you agree with pursuing a tiered approach to determine what type of planning review process to use? (See Poster 4 for more information) **Disagree**

Q5 What is your opinion of the possible application requirements? (See Poster 5 for more information) **Disagree**

Q6 Do you agree with possible air quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? Which topics should be addressed? (check all that apply) (See Poster 6 for more information)

b - Require a plan for leak detection, reporting and repair
g - Support pipeline for transportation of resources to limit truck traffic to and from site

Q7 Do you agree with the possible water quality standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 7 for more information) **Disagree**

Q8 Do you agree with the possible access and haul road standards to address in County oil and gas applications? (See Poster 8 for more information) **Disagree**

Q9 Do you have any other suggestions related to possible oil and gas regulations?

Respondent skipped this question
