P.O. Box 1190, Fort Collins, Colorado 80522-1190, 970.498.7010, Larimer.org

LARIMER COUNTY INTERAGENCY OVERSIGHT GROUP

Date: November 12, 2020 Time: 11:30 AM – 1:00 PM

Location: Meeting held virtually via Zoom platform

Contact: Marci Pilon, mpilon@larimer.org

Minutes

Date: November 12, 2020

Location: Virtual Meeting held via Zoom

Members Present via Zoom Platform: Thad Paul, Averil Strand, Linda Ott, Cyndi Dodds, Sarah Olsen, Robert Percifield, Charlie Carter, Mark Brosal-proxy for Maria Campos, Michele Christensen, Michael Ruttenberg, Andy Boesenecker, Pat Carney, Andrea Clement-Johnson, Ruben Chacon,

Michele Brinegar, Christopher Gastelle, Heather Dolan-proxy for Daniel Darling

Members Participating by Phone: all virtual participation

Members Absent: Heather O'Hayre, Jan James, Joann Ginal, Josi McCauley, Laura Walker, Mark

Burke, Meg Taylor, Pam Jones, Steve Johnson

Other Non-Voting Members Present: Sue Statz, Judy Rodriguez, Ali Wright, Greg Otte, Louisa

Wren, Matthew Zehe

Guests Present: Marc Winokur, Mel Jensen **Recorder**: Marci Pilon, via Zoom recording

I. Call to Order by Averil Strand at 11:33 AM

II. Welcome/Introductions and Agency Updates

- Introductions were made between participants.
- Louisa Wren Those who qualify for Medicaid, please sign up.
- Mark Brosal is retiring.
- Deb Bowen is retiring.

III. Review and Approval of Minutes from October 8, 2020

• A motion for approval was made by Louisa Wren and Heather Dolan. Motion approved and passed.

IV. Community Collaboration Grant - Thad Paul, Deb DeLuca-Forzley

- Nothing new since the last LCIOG meeting. We are working to complete the training on the Protective Factor Survey.
- Not serving families yet.
- CSU has done a lot of work to develop a data collection method. Matt will house all consents for families.
- Thank you to Marc's team for developing the web-based tool families can access themselves.
- January 1st, 2020 we will be well into the grant. Funds will carry over into year two. LCIOG group will help decide need and how to leverage money.
- We have had interest from other agencies.
- Questions: none

V. Outcome Measures Draft Report and Feedback - Marc Winokur, Ph.D., CSU

- Background for new members Thad:
 - o Every year CSU does an outcome evaluation for our programs.
 - o Phase 1: Met three outcome measures. One outcome is done, two outcomes close but did get all funding for this year.
 - Phase 2: CSU does an analysis funded by the LCIOG Board that looks at Core services i.e.) FAPT, PSFS.
- Outcome measures first draft needs feedback, then we will discuss next steps. After the initial look, a more formal report will be provided in January or February.
- Phase 2 Larimer County wants to know more about how services are performing to make best allocation/capacity decisions.
 - We are serving a challenging and higher risk population not being accounted for. Tried to come up with a better comparison to provide LCIOG more actional data points to make decisions. Still needs some adjustments.
 - o See Attachment: HB 1451 SFY17-19 Outcome Study Phase 2 Aggregated Draft Results
 - Outcomes: Weighted average for each service. Create a cost metric.
 Adjust cost/service based on how services did.
 - o Goals: See the average service cost come down and get a positive return on investment.
 - Using the trauma screen score and risk tool. "Trauma" is more representative of the child and "Risk" is more a family measure. Trying to use the score that best represents the clients.
 - Every service type gets a ROI, (green = positive, yellow = neutral, red = negative), outcomes are not all equal.
 - There is some skewing toward the risk side of the equation, counting outcomes and risks 50/50. Each get adjusted equally. Prevention programs have lower trauma score so are lower down on the chart.
 - Predictive model? Use risk and trauma score, other demographics to predict outcomes, then look at value added metric. This would be a more rigorous approach.
- Questions: Judge Brinegar This council should keep in mind it is difficult to have all this lumped together. Marc has done a great job. There are different needs and different levels of trauma. There has been a big uptick in severity of violence in delinquency courts.
- A Regression Analysis might make more sense. Is this something that can move forward quickly, or will it take a much longer time? Maybe do the regression analysis next year?
 - Marc thinks we can do this in the next few months. Need to make sure we have the data to support this. Will require a few more meetings to decide variables that have an effect.
 - o Marc will connect with the data analyst regarding Regression Analysis.
- After settling on a good methodology, Marc will get back to the group. It will be helpful to put together a data team, if interested in being on the data team, email Marci (mpilon@larimer.org).

VI. Review of and Discussion of Placement Data (attached) - Sue Statz

- LC ADP by placement type (8/2019 8/2020). Where children are placed shows trends. Goal is to continue children in most family like setting, i.e.) kinship care and minimize residential treatment. Also looking for ways to support these kids with additional services.
 - o Data on the bottom shows the number of referrals received and what percentage are being screened in seeing an uptick from last year.
- Expenditures through September 2020.
 - o Trying to pay back earlier.
 - o Investing in a lot of home-based services with COVID-19. With less kids in residential we need to provide more services. Ali is working to see if providers can be funded through Medicaid. Trying to get the best sense of what meets the needs of families.
 - Ali is tracking costs to FAPT we have seen a steady decrease in funding for coaching. Concerned about home-based services.
 \$5,500/month to do home-based services, 70-80 kids at a time. This covers only five youth.
 - o Increased need with COVID-19 and big wave of acute kids. How do we off set high needs with families, and keep kids out of residential with this budget? How do we meet high needs now with less?
- In person services depends on the provider. Polaris is the biggest expenditure. They have been doing a lot of outdoor work, SAFY is the same.
- There is money to cover overage but then we may run out in the future. Try to maximize using other funding sources.
- Feds are looking to do a call back, 4 million counties on hook. Concern about our flexibility. We have enough to make it through, we will have to decide next year what to do, and possibly be more aggressive.
- On Marc's report the risk level is highlighted for FAPT.
- Cyndi suggests a small group to strategize other ideas for FAPT, possibly a subcommittee of Cyndi's.
- Do a blended approach with Polaris. High needs cases meeting more than an hour/week.
- Continue to provide service to families bring this and other service ideas back to the LCIOG agenda next month.
- VII. Budget Review (attached) Sue Statz
- VIII. Subcommittee to Review Out of Home Placements Update Cyndi Dodds
 - IX. Rewiring Update Thad Paul
 - Nothing new since last meeting. No update on MST contract.
 - X. Old Business
 - None
 - XI. New Business
 - None
- XII. Adjourn
 - Motion to Adjourn was made by Cyndi Dodds. Motion accepted and approved.
 - Adjourned 12:58 PM

Next Meeting:

HB 1451 SFY17-19 Outcome Study – Phase 2 Aggregated DRAFT Results Social Work Research Center, Colorado State University

Service Type	Placements	Inpatient	Probation	DYS	Dropout	New Charges	Recurrence	Substant.	Reentry	Remain	Reunify	Overall	Cos	t/Service
FAPT		30%	51%	24%	28%	20%		32%		32%		31%	\$	1,632
Day Treatment		34%		25%		-12%		36%		27%		28%	\$	1,274
Trauma Informed Care	24%	29%	18%	21%	22%	23%	28%	26%	25%	21%	23%	24%	\$	5,621
Foster Care/Adopt Support	-11%	24%		20%		29%		30%	20%		26%	21%	\$	322
Life Skills	19%	22%					20%	23%	20%	23%	15%	21%	\$	1,863
PRT	17%	20%			8%			22%	24%			19%	\$	1,271
Supervised Visitation	23%	26%					28%	27%	18%	18%	-16%	18%	\$	483
Sexual Abuse Treatment		17%		10%	6%	5%		19%	15%	27%	-8%	13%	\$	1,468
NYPUM		13%		7%	-1%	-11%		17%		13%		10%	\$	1,876
PSSF*		1%		3%		24%		8%		13%		9%	\$	744
Family Partnership/Intake	11%	13%	15%	-4%	6%	-85%	16%	18%	8%	-2%	34%	9%	\$	7,329
Nurse Advisor	8%	5%						6%	16%	7%	6%	8%	\$	2,610
Substance Abuse Treatment	3%	3%		10%	1%	23%	3%	0%	3%	5%	5%	4%	\$	174
Behavioral/Mental Health	6%	8%		15%	-1%	12%	6%	3%	-3%	5%	-5%	3%	\$	79
Family Group Conferencing	4%	10%		17%	18%	23%	11%	7%	-6%	16%	-51%	3%	\$	1,271
Family Unity Meetings	-4%	1%	27%	7%	7%	15%	2%	-1%	-4%	3%	-3%	2%	\$	1,271
Coaching	-6%	-1%	-2%	-10%	-13%	-15%	-2%	0%	-8%	-3%	6%	-3%	\$	1,807
LCIRC/CBFSS	-5%	0%	-170%	-9%	-7%	-21%	2%	3%	-10%	6%	18%	-6%	\$	1,235
Parent Education	-13%	-8%					-7%	-13%	-7%	-2%	0%	-7%	\$	2,060
Family Advocate	-15%	-5%	20%	0%	5%	-17%	-2%	-9%	-22%	-10%	-8%	-7%	\$	1,215
Intensive Family Therapy	-4%	-1%		-3%	4%	-2%	0%	1%	-26%	-7%	-48%	-10%	\$	2,098
Mediation		-11%		-3%	0%	-3%	-9%	-21%		-7%		-10%	\$	15,941
SEA/CAM/FSM	-14%	-13%					-13%	-13%	-12%	-15%	-20%	-14%	\$	267
CET/TDM	-12%	-8%	-204%	-4%	-2%	6%	-12%	-9%	-15%	-6%	0%	-15%	\$	1,271
Home-Based Services	-20%	-19%		-7%	-4%	-20%	-13%	-19%	-18%	-18%	-63%	-21%	\$	2,921
Family to Family	-25%	-25%		-15%	-15%	3%	-24%	-24%	-69%	-11%	2%	-22%	\$	943
Truancy Program		-29%		-39%		-24%		-27%		-16%		-24%	\$	693
Prevention Pathway Plus		-36%		-49%	-41%	-51%		-26%		-19%		-31%	\$	1,066
Functional Family Therapy		-57%		-44%		-32%		-47%		-61%		-52%	\$	1,996

Larimer County ADP* by Placement Type

	Aug. '19	Sep'19	Oct.'19	Nov.'19	Dec.'19	Jan. '20	Feb. '20	Mar. '20	April '20	May '20	June '20	July '20	Aug. '20	Sep. '20	Oct.'20	
Family Setting																
Trial Home Vis	it 8	6	8	6	6	6	3	6	9	7	6	7	8	8	5	
**Kinship Car	e 212	208	200	198	191	194	196	187	184	176	177	179	181	175	175	
***Kin Foster Car	e 34	34	34	32	35	35	36	34	31	28	26	25	27	29	30	
County Foster Car	e 86	88	87	89	90	89	83	86	87	92	92	87	79	75	78	
Private Foster Car	e <u>29</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>28</u>	<u> 26</u>	<u> 26</u>	<u> 26</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>20</u>	
Sub Tot	al 369	365	359	353	349	351	346	341	337	329	327	322	318	310	308	
Congregate Care																
Group Hom	e 5	5	6	6	5	3	2	4	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	
Group Cente	r 1	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	
Residenti	al 8	8	12	14	15	14	13	11	9	7	8	10	10	10	7	
Psych Residenti	al O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Psych Hospit	al <u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	
Sub Tot	ıl 15	17	23	25	25	22	18	19	16	14	14	17	18	14	11	
Other																
Independent Livir	g 2	1	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	
Run Awa	<u>y 3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	
DHS Tot	al 389	386	388	385	379	378	369	363	357	347	344	341	339	328	322	
CYDC (Colorado Youth Deten. Co	ntinuum)															
Detention (AD	P) 15	12	11	13	16	12	16	13	8	7	4	6	4	4		
New Detent. Admission	s 21	18	25	28	23	30	28	15	5	14	11	7	4	12		
Commitment (AD) 19	19	19	19	19	18	15	16	17	19	18	16	16	17		
New Commitmen	s 1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	4	3	1	1	1	1		
Medicaid																
Residenti	al 0	0	3	3	0	4	4	3	1	2	2	6	2	6	0	
Psychiatr	c 18	21	17	17	23	7	17	8	12	11	6	12	12	14	13	

of Ref.

669

306

599

Screened In 185(28%) 89(29%) 152(25%) 103(35%) 132(31%) 125(40%)

293

420

312

 456
 343
 525
 363
 662
 419
 660
 517

 146(32%)
 137(40%)
 150(29%)
 125(34%)
 162(24%)
 121(29%)
 170(26%)
 151(29%)

**Kinship Care = Child in the home of Kin and not in Custody of DHS

*ADP = Average Daily Population

^{***} Kinship Foster Care = Child in home of Kin and in Custody of DHS

EXPENDITURES THRU SEP-20				
LCIOG BUDGET / EXPENDITURES SFY 20-21	HB1451	1451 EXP	DHS COVERED	TOTAL
	BUDGET	YEAR TO DATE	YEAR TO DATE	PROJECTED EXPENDITURES
PROGRAM / SERVICE - FAPT				
COACHES - FAPT	117,450	3,805	32,134	143,756
20% COORDINATOR SALARY - FAPT	15,435	6,680	-	15,435
FAMILY ADVOCATE - FAPT	69,055	7,214	24,225	69,055
HOME BASED - (FFT/MST/FCC/SAFY/IFT)	67,100	6,127	52,315	233,766
SPECIALIZED SERVICES (DD, TRUMPET, MOSAIC)	21,475	-	385	1,540
DAY TREATMENT	10,066	-	-	-
HARD SERVICES FUNDING (RENT, GAS, TRANS, FOOD)	33,554	1,717	2,747	17,856
SUB TOTAL FAPT	334,135	25,542	111,806	481,408
PROGRAM -				
PLATTE VALLEY THERAPIST	3,923	-		-
TRAUMA INFORMED CARE	-	-		-
LCIRC (OFFENSE SPECIFIC PROGRAM)	53,422	22,971		34,457
PREVENTION PLUS PATHWAYS	114,589	1,800		114,589
SCHOOL TRUANCY PROGRAMS	28,260	2,355		28,260
SUB TOTAL PROGRAM	200,194	27,126	-	177,306
TOTAL	534,329	52,668	111,806	658,714

Definitions for Placement Type

Family Setting:

<u>Trial Home Visit</u>: A child in DHS custody, living away from their parent, is transitioning home. Prior to the trial home visit, criteria and expectations for the visit are defined and submitted to the court.

<u>Kinship Care:</u> A child is **not** in the custody of the Department and is in the care of relatives or friends of the family.

<u>Kinship Foster Care:</u> A child is in DHS custody and is placed with a relative or family member that has been certified, or is in the process of being certified, by the Department.

<u>County Foster Care</u>: The child is in DHS custody and is placed in a Larimer County licensed foster home. The caregivers are not related to the child.

Private Foster Care: The child is placed in a foster home that is licensed by a Child Placement Agency (CPA). That CPA provides oversight and monitoring of the home.

Congregate Care:

<u>Group Home:</u> home-like setting, in which a number of unrelated children live for varying periods of time with a single set of house parents,

<u>Group Center:</u> placement where a number of unrelated children live for varying periods of time with a rotating staff of trained caregivers.

<u>Residential Child Care Facility (RCCF):</u> facility that provides 24-hour care, with rotating staff coverage.

<u>Psychiatric Residential Treatment Facility (PRTF):</u> non-hospital facility offering intensive inpatient services

<u>Psychiatric Hospital:</u> Hospitalization services for youth that are displaying mental health concerns, threatening to self-harm or may pose a risk to the safety of others.

Other:

<u>Independent Living</u>: Adolescent who is in DHS custody and is being assisted to become independent. They may be living in their own apartment or sharing housing with another individual or family.

Run Away: A child or adolescent that is in DHS custody and has left the home of their caregiver, without consent, and their whereabout is unknown.